Attorney's Docket No.: 50277-1769

(OID 2000-196-01)

is attached hereto. was filed on _____ Patent

as

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor(s), I hereby declare that:

My residence, mailing address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

"TECHNIQUES FOR RESUMING A TRANSACTION AFTER AN ERROR"

the specification of which

	United States Applica				
		Application Number			
	and was amended or				
(if applicable)					
		stand the contents of the above- mendment referred to above.	identified s	pecification	
		ition known to me to be material t n 1.56 (copy attached).	to patental	oility as defi	
reign application(s) fo	or patent or inventor's operatent or inventor's cert	Fitle 35, United States Code, Sec certificate listed below and have a tificate having a filing date before	also identif	fied below a	
rior Foreign Applicatio	<u>n(s)</u>		Priority Claimed		
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	
(IAOUIDEI)					
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	
	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed) (Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No No	
(Number)	(Country)		Yes	No	
(Number) (Number) hereby claim the bene	(Country) efit under Title 35, Unite s) listed below	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	
(Number) (Number) hereby claim the benerovisional application((Country) efit under Title 35, Unite s) (isted below May	(Day/Month/Year Filed) ed States Code, Section 119(e)	Yes	No	

EXPRESS MAIL NO. EL734971114US

I hereby claim benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 (copy attached) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of this application:

(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)
on information and belief are beli knowledge that willful false states	eved to be true; and furth ments and the like so mad fithe United States Code a	n knowledge are true and that all statements made er that these statements were made with the le are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, and that such willful false statements may ed thereon.
Full Name of Sole/First Inventor	(given name, family name)	AMIT GANESH
Inventor's Signature	1-76-1	
Residence San Jose, California (City, State)		<u>Citizenship</u> <u>India</u> (Country)
Mailing Address 1426 Brooks	iten Drive, San Jose, CA	95128
Full Name of Second Inventor of Inventor's Signature Residence Arington, Massachi (City, State)	-///	DateCitizenship USA(Country)
	Street Arlington, MA 02476	(3.0.7)
Full Name of Third Inventor (give	en name, family name),	BENOIT DAGEVILLE
Inventor's Signature	Daginfle	Date 1//07/01
Residence Foster City, Californ (City, State)	nia	Citizenship France (Country)

Mailing Address 709 Cayman Lane, Foster City, CA 94404

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by 55 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

50277-1769

3

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:
AMIT GANESH, et al.

Group Art Unit No.:
Not Yet Assigned

Serial No.: Not Yet Assigned

Examiner:

Not Yet Assigned

Filed on: Herewith

For: TECHNIQUES FOR RESUMING A TRANSACTION

AFTER AN ERROR

POWER OF ATTORNEY AND REVOCATION OF PREVIOUS POWERS

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Oracle Corporation, a Delaware corporation having a place of business at 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 50P7, Redwood Shores, California 94065, certifies that to the best of its knowledge and belief it is the assignee or is entitled to ownership of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the above-referenced patent application by virtue of an Assignment filed concurrently herewith and represents that the undersigned is a representative authorized and empowered to sign on behalf of Oracle Corporation, which hereby revokes all powers of attorney previously given and appoints the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s): Edward A. Becker, Reg. No. 37,777; Marcel K. Bingham, Reg. No. 42,327; Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894; Craig G. Holmes, Reg. No. 44,770; Christopher J. Palermo, Reg. No. 42,056; Bobby K. Truong, Reg. No. 37,499, Van Mahamedi, Reg. No. 42,828, John D. Henkhaus, Reg. No. 42,656,and Meera Parikh, Reg. No. P-48,296 all of

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP 1600 Willow Street San Jose, California 95125-5106

and

Sanjay Prasad, Reg. No. 36,247; Roger Kennedy, Reg. No. 44,823 and Carl L. Brandt, Reg. No. 44,555, of ORACLE CORPORATION

with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Send all future correspondence to the attention of Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894, care of the above address and direct all telephone calls to the same at (408) 414-1080.

Assignee of Interest:

Oracle Corporation

Dated:

Bv:

Name: CARL

Title:

. Patent Rounsel

Address of Assignee of Interest:

Oracle Corporation

500 Oracle Parkway - M/S 5OP7

Redwood Shores, CA 94065